

13 August 2026

Clarification to ASX Announcement released on 23 June 2026

Rimfire Pacific Mining ("Rimfire", **RIM.ASX**) provides a clarification to its ASX Announcement (the "Announcement") titled "Early Encouragement from Murga Scandium Metallurgy" released to ASX on 23 June 2026, which detailed observations on 14 – day bottle roll metallurgical tests undertaken by Rimfire on low iron scandium mineralisation from the Murga Scandium Deposit (Inferred MRE of 11,900t Sc Oxide) ¹ at atmospheric pressures.

At the request of the ASX and in accordance with Listing Rule 5.7, Rimfire provides the following clarifications.

1. The scandium recoveries at the end of the 14-day bottle roll tests were 11.3% (saprolite sample) and 10.5% (laterite sample).

DISCLAIMER: To form a definitive conclusion on ultimate scandium recoveries based on 14 days of data is premature and potentially misleading. Shareholders and potential investors are strongly advised not to use the "raw average recovery rate" (i.e. saprolite – 11.3% after 14 days = 0.807% per day, and laterite – 10.5% after 14 days = 0.75% per day) as the basis for determining scandium recoveries over longer time periods.

2. The stated potential recovery range of 60–90% was derived by applying a linear line of best fit that excluded the initial rapid extraction to the 14-day recovery data and extrapolating that trend over a longer timeframe of 200 days.

Extrapolation over the longer period is justified because commercially, heap leaching of laterite nickel cobalt scandium deposits (*that share similar mineralogy and are metallurgically analogous to the Murga deposit*) typically takes place over time periods of 100 to 250 days, or even longer.

3. The material assumption underpinning the estimate was that if the "linear line of best fit" rate of scandium recovery was maintained over the 200-day period, potential scandium recoveries of 60% - 90% could be achieved. It is also noted that published research papers ² confirm that European and West Australian saprolite ores achieve 70 – 80% extractions over extended leaching periods.
4. Rimfire choose the linear line of best fit because published papers reveal that heap leaching of saprolite ores exhibits a roughly linear response and it best approximated the 14-day recovery data.
5. The approach, projected recoveries and related assumptions were reviewed and ratified by the Company's Metallurgical Process Consultant and Competent Person, Mr Boyd Willis.

MANAGEMENT

DAVID HUTTON

Managing Director / CEO

DR PETER CROWHURST

Exploration Manager

GREG KEANE

Chief Financial Officer and
Alternative Director for Ian
McCubbing

BOARD

IAN MCCUBBING

Chairman

ANDREW KNOX

Non-Executive Director

STEFAN ROSS

Company Secretary

REGISTERED OFFICE

Suite 2, Level 11
385 Bourke Street
MELBOURNE
VICTORIA 3000

CONTACT DETAILS

DAVID HUTTON

+ 61 417 974 843

GREG KEANE

+ 61 497 805 918

rimfire@rimfire.com.au

www.rimfire.com.au

ABN: 59 006 911 744

ASX: RIM

¹ Details of the Murga Mineral Resource estimate was previously released by Rimfire in an ASX Announcement dated 13 April 2026. Rimfire confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the ASX announcement, and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimate in that ASX announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed.

² D Readett, N Meadows, and M Rodriguez (2006) Murrin Murrin Heap Leaching Project. Metallurgical Plant Design and Operating Strategies (MetPlant 2006)

² Oxley, Anne & Sirvanci, Nursun & Simon, Purkiss. (2007). Çaldağ Nickel laterite atmospheric heap leach project. Metalurgija - J. Metall.. 13.

ENDS

This announcement is authorised for release to the market by the Board of Directors of Rimfire Pacific Mining Limited.

For further information please contact:

David Hutton – Managing Director / CEO (phone +61 417 974 843)

JORC Reporting

Table 2: JORC Code Reporting Criteria

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data – Bottle Roll Tests

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	This ASX Announcement details the outcome of the 14-day bottle roll tests undertaken on low iron scandium mineralised material from the Murga Scandium Deposit. This ASX Announcement follows a previous announcement dated 12 May 2026. Two ~3.5kg composite HQ quarter core samples of laterite and saprolite - hosted low iron scandium mineralised material (from Rimfire diamond drillhole FI2679) were subjected to bottle roll leaching conducted at atmospheric pressures and ambient temperatures over a 14-day period. JORC details about diamond drillhole FI2679 are included in Rimfire ASX Announcement dated 28 March 2025
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representativity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	The bottle roll tests were performed by Simulus Pty Ltd which is a specialist metallurgy laboratory.
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire	- Following pulverisation at the laboratory, the following procedure was employed to undertake the bottle toll tests: - The sample was transferred into a 15 L plastic leach bottle with screw-on lid along with a sufficient quantity of deionised

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	water to establish a pulp density of 25 % (w/w). - Sufficient 98 % sulphuric acid was added to the slurry to establish an initial liquor concentration of 40 g/L H₂SO₄. - The bottle was then sealed and placed on a bottle roller. The roller was set to roll for 5 min every hour. - At specified intervals throughout the test the slurry pH, ORP, and temperature were measured, and a liquor sample was taken for analysis. If the liquor free acid content was found to be below 20 g/L H₂SO₄ 98 % sulphuric acid was added to adjust the free acid back up to 20 g/L. - At the termination of the test, the terminal pH, ORP, and temperature were recorded, and a solution sample was taken for assay. - The residual slurry sample was filtered, washed and dried to provide leach residue solids.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
Drill sample recovery	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all taken.	Two ~3.5kg composite HQ quarter core samples from diamond drillhole FI2679 were submitted to Stimulus Pty Ltd for sample preparation and bottle roll testing. The samples were of laterite and saprolite material respectively. At the laboratory, each composite sample was pulverised before being placed into the bottle roll

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		container.
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.	N/A
	For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	Given the metallurgical technique this process is considered appropriate.
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.	All sampling equipment etc were cleaned regularly during the sample preparation.
	Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	The ~3.5kg composite HQ quarter core samples were collected by Rimfire geologists from the original core trays to ensure that the sampling was representative of the in-situ material.
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	The sample size (~ 3.5kg) of HQ quarter core material is considered appropriate to the grainsize of material being sampled.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	The methods used by Stimulus to undertake the bottle roll tests are industry standard and are considered to be a partial technique.
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments (pXRF), etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	N/A - no geophysical tools were used or results of using geophysical tools were included in this Announcement.
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.	Further bottle roll tests are being undertaken to verify / check the results detailed in this ASX Announcement.
Verification of sampling and assaying	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	Significant intersections have been verified by the company's Managing Director and Exploration Manager.
	The use of twinned holes.	Not applicable as no twinned holes drilled.
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	Primary data was recorded by Stimulus electronically onto spreadsheets which were made available to Rimfire.
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	No adjustments have been applied.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	Sample locations are recorded using handheld Garmin GPS with a nominal accuracy +/- 3m.
	Specification of the grid system used.	GDA94 Zone 55.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Handheld GPS, which is suitable for the early stage and broad spacing of this exploration.
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Data spacing of drillholes discussed in this Report are given in Table 1 of this ASX Announcement.
	Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	The data spacing and distribution of drilling referred to in this Announcement, is insufficient by itself to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		procedure(s).
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	~3.5kg composite HQ quarter core samples were submitted.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Given the early stage of exploration, it is not yet known if sample spacing, and orientation achieves unbiased results.
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	The relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered not to have introduced a sampling bias
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Samples double bagged and delivered directly to the laboratory by company personnel.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	The geological data discussed in this Announcement has been reviewed by senior company personnel including the Exploration Manager, Managing Director and the Company's Metallurgical Consultant with no issues identified.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	Reported results all from Exploration Licence EL EL8935 at Fifield NSW which is wholly - owned by Rimfire Pacific Mining Limited. The tenement forms part of the Company's Fifield Project which is subject to an Earn In Agreement with Rimfire's exploration partner Golden Plains Resources (GPR) whereby GPR can earn a 50.1% interest by completing \$3.6M exploration expenditure and providing to Rimfire a fully committed, irrevocable and binding non-recourse mine development financing proposal to underpin the development of an economic mineral deposit within the Fifield Project (and other conditions having been satisfied). The financing proposal must be based on a detailed feasibility study.
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.	All samples were taken on Private Freehold Land. No Native Title exists. The land is used primarily for grazing and cropping.
		The tenement is in good standing, and all work is conducted under specific approvals from NSW Department of Planning and Energy, Resources and Geoscience.
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	The Murga Intrusive Complex where the air core drilling was conducted has been largely explored historically for gold and platinum with most focus on the Sorpresa Gold Deposit which lies to the east of Murga.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.	The target area lacks geological exposure; available information indicates the bedrock geology across the project is dominated by a central body of ultramafic intrusive and stepping out to more felsic units on the margins. The deposit type/style of mineralisation is a flat lying weathered zone developed on top of ultramafic [pyroxenite] rocks hosting anomalous Scandium.
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: - easting and northing of the drill hole collar - elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar - dip and azimuth of the hole - down hole length and interception depth.	All drillhole specifications are included within this ASX Announcement.
	If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the Report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	Not applicable all drillhole specifications are included within this ASX Announcement.
Data aggregation methods	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	N/A - no drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	N/A – all aggregate intercepts contain samples of equal lengths
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	N/A – no metal equivalents have been used.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	These relationships are particularly important in the Reporting of Exploration Results.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
	If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').	
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Included within the ASX Announcement

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced avoiding misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	No drilling results have been reported in this ASX Announcement.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	The scandium recoveries at the end of the 14-day bottle roll tests were 11.3% (saprolite sample) and 10.5% (laterite sample). The stated potential recovery range of 60–90% was derived by applying a linear line of best fit that excluded the initial rapid extraction to the 14-day recovery data and extrapolating that trend over a longer timeframe of 200 days. Extrapolation over the longer period is justified because commercially, heap leaching of laterite nickel cobalt scandium deposits (that share similar mineralogy and are metallurgically analogous to the Murga deposit) typically takes place over time periods of 100 to 250 days, or even longer. The material assumption underpinning the estimate was that if the “linear line of best fit” rate of scandium recovery was maintained over the 200 day period, potential scandium recoveries of 60% - 90% could be achieved. Rimfire choose the linear line of best fit because it best approximated the 14 day recovery data.
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Planned further is discussed in the document in relation to the exploration results.
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Not applicable at this stage

Competent Persons Declaration

The information in this announcement that relates to **metallurgy and metallurgical test work** has been reviewed by Mr Boyd Willis. Mr Willis is a consultant to Rimfire Pacific Mining Limited. Mr Willis is a Fellow of the AusIMM (FAusIMM) and has sufficient experience with the style of processing response and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a competent person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australian Code for the Reporting of Exploration 21 Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (The JORC Code).

Mr Willis consents to the inclusion in this report of the contained technical information in the form and context as it appears.

The information in the report to which this statement is attached that relates to **Exploration and Resource Results** is based on information reviewed and/or compiled by David Hutton who is deemed to be a Competent Person and is a Fellow of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy.

Mr Hutton has over 30 years' experience in the minerals industry and is the Managing Director and CEO of Rimfire Pacific Mining. Mr Hutton has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'.

Mr Hutton consents to the inclusion of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The data in this report that relates to **Mineral Resource estimates** is based on information compiled and evaluated by Mr Simon Tear who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (MAusIMM) and who has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (the "JORC Code").

Mr Tear is a Director of H&S Consultants Pty Ltd, and he consents to the inclusion in the report of the Mineral Resource in the form and context in which they appear.

Forward looking statements Disclaimer

This document contains "forward looking statements" as defined or implied in common law and within the meaning of the Corporations Law. Such forward looking statements may include, without limitation, (1) estimates of future capital expenditure; (2) estimates of future cash costs; (3) statements regarding future exploration results and goals.

Where the Company or any of its officers or Directors or representatives expresses an expectation or belief as to future events or results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and the Company or its officers or Directors or representatives, believe to have a reasonable basis for implying such an expectation or belief.

However, forward looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors, which could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed, projected, or implied by such forward looking statements. Such risks include, but are not limited to, commodity price fluctuation, currency fluctuation, political and operational risks, governmental regulations and judicial outcomes, financial markets, and availability of key personnel. The Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly release revisions to any "forward looking statement".