



SOUTHWEST DISCOVERY CONTINUES TO GROW AS DRILLING TAKES SULFIDE SYSTEM TO THE SURFACE

Highlights

- **Southwest Main Sulfide Corridor expanded to more than 950m of strike, approximately 700m of width and at least 750m down-dip**, confirming the scale of the emerging PGM-Cu-Ni sulfide discovery (open in all directions).
- Southwest lies entirely outside the existing **148Mt Dante Mineral Resource**; a major new growth opportunity within the Jameson Layered Intrusion.
- **SWRC064 intersected 157m of mineralisation from only 5m downhole**, confirming the mineralised system reaches near-surface.
- These results reinforce the potential for a low strip starter pit at Southwest, with geophysics indicating extension over a significant strike from surface.
- Five drill rigs, Ground EM and Downhole EM programs continue to extend the discovery and generate new high-priority targets (assays pending).

Significant Drill Results (current release)

- **SWRC064:** 157m @ 0.73g/t PGE3, 0.16% Ni from 5m, including **50m @ 1.27g/t PGE3** from 74m and **3m @ 5.05g/t PGE3, 0.62% Ni, 0.27% Cu** from 110m. A near surface zone of 18m @ 0.97 g/t PGE3, 0.12% Ni, 0.10% Cu from 7m.
- **SWRC063:** 150m @ 0.86g/t PGE3, 0.15% Ni, 0.10% Cu from 54m, including **33m @ 1.25g/t PGE3, 0.2% Ni and 0.13% Cu** from 64m and **47m @ 1.05g/t PGE3, 0.16% Ni and 0.12% Cu** from 141m.
- **SWRC062:** 80m @ 1.08g/t PGE3, 0.16% Ni, 0.11% Cu from 180m, including **47m @ 1.35g/t PGE3, 0.17% Ni and 0.13% Cu** from 182m and **7m @ 2.04g/t PGE3, 0.23% Cu, 0.20% Ni** from 213m. A second mineralised interval of **40m @ 0.90g/t PGE3, 0.16% Ni** from 110m.
- Five drill rigs continue extensional and infill drilling while Ground EM and Downhole EM surveys continue to generate high-priority targets across the Southwest discovery.

CEO & Managing Director, Thomas Line, commented: "These latest results confirm that a large mafic-ultramafic reef within the Southwest Main Zone is continuous along strike and down-dip, while also demonstrating exceptional thickness compared with most globally recognised PGM reef systems. PGM reefs are commonly associated with narrow mineralised horizons, typically ranging from approximately 10 centimetres to 2 metres thick; however, notable exceptions include Platreef in South Africa and the emerging Southwest Discovery in Western Australia.

The identification of higher-grade internal reef horizons, which compare favourably in grade and thickness with globally significant PGM reefs such as the Merensky Reef and UG2, reinforces the significant potential of Southwest.

Five drill rigs remain active at Southwest, and drilling is several months ahead of the assay results reported to market. Recent technical, management and Board appointments have strengthened the Company's capacity to advance the discovery through continued drilling, geological interpretation and resource definition."

For further information, please contact:

Thomas Line | CEO & Managing Director

Tel: +61 8 6169 1639

Email: info@terrametals.com.au




Figure 1. Cross-section A-A' through the Southwest Main Sulfide Zone including the currently reported RC holes and previously reported RC and DD drillholes together with interpreted mineralised envelopes. The envelopes are conceptual and based on current drilling, geological logging and structural data.




Figure 2. Plan View of the Southwest Main Sulfide Corridor Showing Drilling Completed to Date.

Southwest Discovery Continues to Demonstrate Scale

Terra Metals Limited (ASX: TM1) ("Terra Metals" or "Company") is pleased to report further outstanding drilling results from the Southwest Discovery at the Dante Project, continuing to define a large and continuous platinum-group-metal ("PGM"), nickel and copper sulfide system hosted within a newly recognised ultramafic package between the SW5 and SW6 discovery zones.

Drilling completed to date has now defined the Southwest Main Sulfide Corridor over more than **950 metres of strike, approximately 700 metres in width and at least 750 metres down-dip from surface.**

The cross-section shown in Figure 1 illustrates one of the key outcomes of the current drilling program. Every reported RC hole intersected broad intervals of consistent PGM-Cu-Ni mineralisation within a shallowly west-dipping ultramafic unit. Geological interpretation indicates the mineralised package ranges from approximately 150 metres to more than 500 metres thick and continues to thicken down-dip.

The reported RC holes intersected thick, disseminated to locally higher-grade PGM-Cu-Ni sulfide mineralisation, with both SWRC061 and SWRC063 ending in mineralisation, demonstrating that the full thickness of the mineralised ultramafic package has yet to be defined. Combined with the near-surface mineralisation intersected in SWRC064, these results significantly increase confidence in the continuity and scale of the Southwest Main Sulfide Corridor. Deeper drilling is planned to define the full down-dip extent of the system, while ongoing extensional drilling will continue testing strike continuity beyond the currently defined limits.

SWRC064 returned the broadest mineralised interval reported in this release, with 157m @ 0.73g/t PGE3 and 0.16% Ni from 5m. This interval includes multiple higher-grade PGM zones, including 50m @ 1.27g/t PGE3, 0.19% Ni from 74m, containing 3m @ 5.05g/t PGE3, 0.27% Cu, 0.62% Ni from 110m. The width of mineralisation developing at Southwest is encouraging, with mineralised intervals now being intersected from shallow depths.

In SWRC063, mineralisation was intersected from 54m and continued to the end of the 204m RC hole, returning 150m @ 0.86g/t PGE3, 0.15% Ni and 0.1% Cu. Mineralisation is interpreted beyond the end of this hole by drilling along strike to the north and south, and will be followed up by deeper drilling to define the footwall contact.

In SWRC062, mineralisation was intersected in two reefs. The first reef was mineralised from 110m and continued to 150m, returning 40m @ 0.90g/t PGE3 and 0.16% Ni. The second, larger reef was intersected at 180m and continued to 260m, returning 80m @ 1.08g/t PGE3, 0.16% Ni, 0.11% Cu.

In SWRC061, mineralisation was intersected from 126m and continued to 7m above the end of the 204m RC hole, returning 71m @ 0.71g/t PGE3 and 0.15% Ni, including a higher-grade zone of 11m @ 1.48g/t PGE3, 0.22% Ni and 0.13% Cu from 145m. Mineralisation is interpreted beyond the end of this hole by drilling along strike to the north and south.

Ongoing drilling, geological interpretation and geophysical surveys including Ground EM and Downhole EM continue to refine the geometry and controls on the Southwest mineralised system, with mineralisation remaining open along strike and at depth.

Ground EM and downhole EM surveys continue to identify and refine high-conductance anomalies that may represent accumulations of massive sulfides, generating priority targets for follow-up drilling. Several new EM targets have been identified since the program commenced in March and will be progressively tested as drilling continues.

The Company considers Southwest to remain at an early stage. Drilling to date has confirmed a large, continuous and open mineralised corridor, with further work focused on defining the scale, continuity, grade distribution and potential higher-grade sulfide positions within the broader mafic-ultramafic hosted sulfide system.




Figure 3. Plan view of the Dante Project showing the current Mineral Resource Estimate (MRE) and the Southwest Discovery. Geological interpretations are preliminary and based on current drilling and oriented-core data.

Why Southwest Matters

Southwest represents a new mineralised corridor located outside the existing 148 Mt Dante Mineral Resource and is emerging as a significant growth opportunity within the broader Jameson Layered Intrusion.

Importantly, Southwest represents a completely new style of magmatic sulfide mineralisation within both the West Musgrave Region and the Dante Project. The PGM-Cu-Ni-Co sulfide mineralisation is not constrained to one layer or “reef”, and spans across various mafic to ultramafic rock types, over far greater thicknesses than the existing 148 Mt Dante Reefs MRE.

Drilling completed to date has defined mineralisation over more than 950 m of strike, approximately 700 m in width and extending at least 750 m of down-dip from surface. Importantly, all reported infill and extensional drillholes have successfully intersected sulfide mineralisation, while the majority of drillholes completed during the 2026 program remain pending assays, including all the RC pre-collars with diamond tails which extend throughout the main Southwest Sulfide Corridor.

Southwest demonstrates the potential for multiple styles of PGM-Cu-Ni-Co sulfide mineralisation within the broader Dante intrusive system, including stratiform reef-hosted mineralisation, broad disseminated sulfide mineralisation hosted within ultramafic units, and higher-grade feeder-style massive and semi-massive sulfide accumulations.

Future Work

Ongoing work will focus on:

- Continuation of diamond drilling targeting extensions to the mineralised ultramafic along the main Southwest Sulfide Zone;
- Receipt and interpretation of assays from the deep diamond tails (up to 918m), providing the first tests of the mineralised system at depth;
- Ground and downhole electromagnetic (EM) surveys to identify conductive sulfide bodies for ongoing targeting of high-grade feeder-style massive sulfide mineralisation;
- Detailed structural interpretation of Southwest and the broader Dante Project to refine the geological model and controls on mineralisation;
- Heritage surveys to expand drill access across the broader Southwest project area, supporting continued discovery drilling and future grid-spaced resource drilling, with the aim of reporting a maiden MRE late 2026;
- Exploration for additional ultramafic PGM-sulfide units and strike extensions within the broader Southwest Intrusive Complex and across the Company's approx. 80 km-long Dante Project portfolio;
- Assessment and drill testing of Southwest analogues across the broader Dante Project and Jameson Layered Intrusion;
- Evaluation of additional mineralised horizons within the broader Dante Project; and
- Detailed metallurgical test-work and flowsheet development, with the aim of progressing toward a Pre-Feasibility Study following reporting of a maiden Southwest MRE.

About the Dante Project

The Dante Project, located in the West Musgrave region of Western Australia, is hosted within the Jameson Layered Intrusion, part of the Giles Complex, a district-scale mafic-ultramafic intrusive system extending approximately 80 km in length and 30 km in width under Terra Metals' 100% owned tenure.

The Giles Complex is comparable in geological setting and intrusive style to major layered intrusion systems globally, including South Africa's Bushveld Complex, which hosts multiple world-class PGM, nickel, copper and chromite deposits. The Jameson Intrusion has the potential to become a globally significant critical minerals province, and after consolidating the premier tenure over the past three years, TM1 is solely focused on exploration and development of the Jameson Intrusion and related deposits.

Terra Metals has already defined the 148 Mt Dante Mineral Resource, containing PGM, gold, copper, titanium and vanadium mineralisation, and has subsequently identified multiple additional mineralised corridors and exploration targets across the broader intrusive system, including the Southwest Discovery, Reef 5 and Cronus.

The Southwest Discovery represents a significant new PGM-Cu-Ni-Co sulfide system located outside the existing Dante Mineral Resource. Ongoing drilling continues to expand the discovery, with mineralisation now defined over more than 950 m of strike, approximately 700 m in width and extending at least 750 m down-dip from surface, while remaining open along strike and at depth.




Figure 4. Location of the Company's Dante Project tenure, overlying the geology map of the West Musgrave Region.

Table 1. Dante Project Mineral Resources (August 2025)

Category	Tonnage (Mt)	Grade							
		TiO ₂ (%)	V ₂ O ₅ (%)	Cu (%)	PGE3 (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Cu Eq (%)
Indicated	38	18.4	0.73	0.23	0.71	0.16	0.41	0.14	1.87
Inferred	110	13.5	0.47	0.16	0.21	0.06	0.11	0.04	1.21
Total	148	14.8	0.54	0.18	0.33	0.08	0.18	0.07	1.38

Category	Tonnage (Mt)	Contained Metal						
		TiO ₂ (Mt)	V ₂ O ₅ (kt)	Cu (kt)	PGE3 (koz)	Au (koz)	Pt (koz)	Pd (koz)
Indicated	38	7.0	280	90	870	200	500	180
Inferred	110	15	520	180	730	200	380	150
Total	148	22	800	270	1,600	400	880	330

Note: Some numbers may not add up due to rounding.

Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information compiled by Barry Jones, a Competent Person, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AUSIMM). Mr. Jones is the Exploration Manager and a full-time employee of Terra Metals Limited. Mr. Jones has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration, and to the activity he is undertaking, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr. Jones consents to the inclusion of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. The information in this announcement that relates to Mineral Resources is extracted from the Company's ASX announcement dated 11 August 2025 and the information in this announcement that relates to Metallurgical Testwork is extracted from the Company's announcement dated 25 March 2025 ("Original ASX Announcements"). The Original ASX Announcements are available to view at the Company's website at www.terrametals.com.au. The Company confirms that: a) it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the Original ASX Announcements; b) all material assumptions included in the Original ASX Announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed; and c) the form and context in which the relevant Competent Persons' findings are presented in this announcement have not been materially changed from the Original ASX Announcements.

Forward Looking Statements

Statements regarding plans with respect to Terra's projects are forward-looking statements. There can be no assurance that the Company's plans for development of its projects will proceed as currently expected. These forward-looking statements are based on the Company's expectations and beliefs concerning future events. Forward looking statements are necessarily subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of the Company, which could cause actual results to differ materially from such statements. The Company makes no undertaking to subsequently update or revise the forward-looking statements made in this announcement, to reflect the circumstances or events after the date of that announcement.

This ASX announcement has been approved in accordance with the Company's published continuous disclosure policy and authorised for release by the Managing Director & CEO.

¹ PGE3 is the sum of platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), and gold (Au).

² PGE7 is the sum of platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), gold (Au), rhodium (Rh), ruthenium (Ru), osmium (Os), and iridium (Ir).

Table 2. Drill Hole Collars

Hole ID	Hole Type	Easting MGA94 Z52	Northing MGA94 Z52	RL	Hole Depth (m)	DD Tail Depth (m)	Dip	Azimuth	Prospect
SWRC061	RC	356606	7142094	524	204	NA	-60.7	90.2	SW
SWRC062	RC	356775	7142088	525	294	NA	-60.0	90.0	SW
SWRC063	RC	356870	7142111	524	204	NA	-60.0	90.0	SW
SWRC064	RC	356971	7142104	523	246	NA	-60.0	90.0	SW
SWRC053*	RC	356520	7141871	527	288	NA	-60.0	90.0	SW

*SWRC053 previously reported on 01/07/2026

Table 3. Significant Intercepts for SWRC061, SWRC062, SWRC063 & SWRC064

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	PGE3 (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	TiO ₂ (%)	Fe ₂ O ₃ (%)	V ₂ O ₅ (%)	Co (ppm)
SWRC061	126	197	71	0.71	0.04	0.28	0.39	0.07	0.15	0.811	20.09	0.00	136
incl.	145	156	11	1.48	0.08	0.59	0.81	0.13	0.22	0.727	26.26	0.00	177
SWRC062	110	150	40	0.90	0.05	0.35	0.51	0.09	0.16	0.845	22.24	0.02	144
SWRC062	180	260	80	1.08	0.06	0.39	0.63	0.11	0.16	0.494	21.16	0.01	151
incl.	182	229	47	1.35	0.07	0.51	0.77	0.13	0.17	0.564	23.75	0.01	166
incl.	213	220	7	2.04	0.10	0.90	1.04	0.23	0.20	0.543	21.24	0.01	155
SWRC063	54	204	150	0.86	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.10	0.15	0.669	20.74	0.01	144
incl.	64	97	33	1.25	0.08	0.45	0.72	0.13	0.20	0.7	22.55	0.01	161
incl.	67	72	5	2.04	0.15	0.75	1.14	0.25	0.27	0.5	25.06	0.01	198
incl.	141	188	47	1.05	0.06	0.36	0.64	0.12	0.16	0.421	22.76	0.01	155
SWRC064	5	162	157	0.73	0.04	0.26	0.44	0.07	0.16	0.842	22.63	0.02	145
incl.	7	25	18	0.97	0.01	0.35	0.61	0.10	0.12	1.35	33.15	0.04	121
incl.	74	124	50	1.27	0.08	0.44	0.75	0.13	0.19	0.504	22.84	0.01	169
incl.	110	121	11	2.34	0.13	0.81	1.40	0.16	0.30	0.655	24.71	0.02	203
incl.	110	113	3	5.05	0.12	1.70	3.22	0.27	0.62	0.833	32.23	0.03	341
incl.	141	146	5	1.03	0.04	0.37	0.62	0.08	0.13	0.44	12.58	0.02	98

Table 4 Previously Reported Significant Intercepts for SWRC053 with Newly Reported Ni, Cu and Co Results (Reported 01/07/2026)

Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Width (m)	PGE3 (g/t)	Au (g/t)	Pt (g/t)	Pd (g/t)	Cu (%)	Ni (%)	TiO ₂ (%)	Fe ₂ O ₃ (%)	V ₂ O ₅ (%)	Co (ppm)
SWRC053	42	60	18	0.13	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	3.6	19.5	0.10	97.5
inc.	52	53	1	1.21	0.07	0.41	0.73	0.09	0.09	0.7	9.8	0.02	61
SWRC053	75	112	37	0.26	0.01	0.09	0.15	0.03	0.02	1.7	12.6	0.05	50.6
inc.	105	108	3	1.83	0.06	0.66	1.11	0.14	0.06	0.6	14.0	0.03	76
SWRC053	183	288	105	0.87	0.05	0.32	0.50	0.08	0.14	0.7	21.3	0.02	143
inc.	184	206	22	1.67	0.08	0.64	0.94	0.13	0.20	0.57	25.6	0.01	171.9
inc.	186	195	9	2.01	0.12	0.78	1.10	0.19	0.22	0.6	25.0	0.00	185.6
inc.	271	272	1	2.09	0.05	1.07	0.97	0.13	0.16	0.5	32.2	0.02	287

Appendix A: JORC Code (2012 Edition) - Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	- Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. - Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. - Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. - In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done, this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such	All exploration drilling at the SW Prospect was completed using Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling and Diamond Drilling (DD) techniques. Reverse Circulation (RC): - RC drill holes were sampled as individual, 1-metre-length samples from the rig split. Individual metre samples were collected as a 12.5% split collected from a static cone splitter attached to the drill rig. Individual RC samples were collected in calico sample bags and grouped into polyweave bags for dispatch in bulka bags (approximately five per polyweave bag and 300 samples per bulka bag). 4 metre composite samples were taken outside of the zones of geological interest, or within broad low-grade mineralised zones, by spearing a split of four calico bag rejects into one calico bag taking the same size sample from each bag to form a representative composite across the four-metre interval. Individual 1m samples were retained for re-assay based on 4m composite assay results. All samples were collected in pre-labelled calico bags, with sample numbers recorded against the Hole ID and down-hole depth by the supervising geologist. Diamond Drilling (DD): - Drill core was lithologically logged then sampling boundaries defined by lithology. - Sampling was undertaken at nominal 1m intervals, and increased to every 0.5m in zones of net-textured sulfides, or along lithological boundaries. - Core orientated using a Reflex AXIS III downhole tool.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	as where coarse gold has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant the disclosure of detailed information.	- Holes surveyed using an OMNix 42 North Seeking Overshot Continuous Gyro tool supplied by IMDEX. - Half or Quarter PQ, HQ & NQ core was used in all sampling. - Drill core cleaned, orientated and metre marked using 1m tape measure on site prior to being cut for sampling. All samples were cut and collected in labelled calico bags to be crushed, pulverised and split at the laboratory to produce a 40g charge for fire assay as well as the necessary split to produce fused bead for Laser Ablation (LA) and X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) analysis.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other types, whether the core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	RC: - Reverse circulation drilling utilising an 8-inch open-hole hammer for the first 6m (pre-collar) and a 5.6-inch RC hammer for the remainder of the drill hole. DD: - Diamond drilling performed at the SW prospect was PQ, HQ and NQ diameter. All core was recovered with no recorded core loss. - Core was orientated by marking the bottom of core showing downhole direction in chinagraph pencil. - Orientation confidence was recorded by the logging geologist based on an industry standard core orientation workflow of orientating between multiple runs of drilled core.
Drill sample recovery	- Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. - Measures are taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure the representative nature of the samples. - Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	RC: - RC sample recoveries of less than approximately 80% are noted in the geological/sampling log with a visual estimate of the actual recovery. No such samples were reported within the drilling in the SW Prospect area. - All RC samples were dry. - Historical drilling style and sample recovery appear consistent and reliable, whilst contamination is possible, the effect is unknown. As such all grades if shown should be considered indicative. DD: - Core recovery was measured by the drillers using a tape measure and recorded on wooden core blocks for each run. - Core was measured again and verified by Terra Metals field staff. - All core was photographed on site after being orientated and metre marked with core blocks indicating any core loss.
Logging	- Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in	RC: - Washed RC drill chip samples were geologically logged to a level to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Lithology, oxidation, mineralogy, alteration and veining have been recorded. - RC chip trays have been stored for future reference and chip tray photography is available.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	DD: - Drill core trays were collected from the rig and returned to the yard and placed on racks for ease of access. - Summary qualitative log was taken to provide daily feedback to offsite personnel. - Core was marked up with metre marks and if 3 orientation marks aligned, a solid orientation line was marked. - Geological quantitative logging was undertaken at the core yard with mineral abundances accurately recorded once metre marks were verified. - Structural features were logged recording alpha and beta angles, together with a description of each recorded feature using the marked orientation line. - Cut sheets were produced after logging was completed and geological boundaries accurately defined.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the sampled material.	RC: - The full metre samples were passed through a rig-mounted cone splitter with two chutes on 1m intervals to obtain a 3-5kg representative split sample for assay and one sample as a cone split reference sample for archive. In areas not considered high priority by geological logging, a 4m spear composite sample was taken. - Due to the early stage of exploration and the thickness of the mineralised zones, 1m RC sample intervals are considered appropriate. - At the laboratory, each sample is sorted, dried, split and pulverised to 85% passing through 75 microns to produce a representative subsample for analysis, providing adequate sample homogenisation for repeatable assay results. - Certified Reference Material (CRM) Standards, Duplicates and blanks were inserted at a ratio of 1 of each per 20 routine samples (1:20). DD: - Core samples were cut as per cutting sheet at nominal 1m or 0.5m intervals within lithological boundaries. - Core was cut off orientation line to ½ core for assay unless material was required for additional testing in which case, core was cut again to produce a ¼ core sample for assay. - Sample size is considered representative and appropriate. - At the laboratory, each sample is sorted, dried, crushed, split and pulverised to 85% passing through 75 microns to produce a representative subsample for analysis providing adequate sample homogenisation for repeatable assay results. - Certified Reference Materials (CRMs) and blanks were inserted at a rate of one CRM and one blank per twenty routine samples (1:20) for the initial sampling program.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	- The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis include instrument make and model, reading times, calibration factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	RC and DD: - Samples were analysed at Bureau Veritas, Perth for broad-suite multi-element fused bead Laser Ablation/ICPMS. Gold, Pt and Pd analysis was by Fire Assay ICP-OES. Oxides were determined by glass bead fusion with XRF finish. - Sampling QA/QC including standards (4 different CRM to cover low mid and higher-grade material of various elements including but not limited to copper, gold, nickel, PGMs, silver, titanium and vanadium) were included in each sample dispatch and reported in the laboratory results. QAQC samples included Company selected CRM material including blank material. Laboratory QAQC has additional checks including standards, blanks and repeat samples that were conducted regularly on every batch. Company standards are included every 20th sample. 36,396 sample assay results have been received with total sampling QAQC standards representing more than 5% of all samples. All standards submitted were within acceptable limits for copper, gold, silver, zinc, platinum, palladium, cobalt, iron, vanadium, barium, titanium and scandium. Terra Metals QAQC procedure for the SW Prospect area was the insertion of four different CRM standards to cover the various targeted metals. CRM material was selected based upon expected element ranges for copper, gold, nickel, PGMs, silver, titanium and vanadium from mineralisation previously identified on the project from similar mafic rocks. - PGE7² assay results are performed by Bureau Veritas Laboratories in Perth. Using pulps from previously reported assay results. The assay technique used is Nickel Sulfide Collection Fire Assay - ICP-MS Finish. - Field Certified Reference Materials (CRMs), blanks and duplicates were inserted at a rate of one of each per twenty routine samples (1:20) for RC drilling. For diamond drilling, CRMs and blanks were inserted at a rate of one of each per twenty routine samples (1:20) during the initial sampling phase. - Bureau Veritas conducts internal laboratory repeat analyses on anomalous or high-grade samples to confirm analytical repeatability prior to final reporting. Geophysical Data (MLEM) - Moving Loop Electromagnetic (MLEM) surveys were completed by Southern Geoscience Consultants Pty Ltd over selected Southwest Prospect targets. - Survey design, acquisition parameters, transmitter loop geometry, receiver configuration and quality control procedures were determined and supervised by Southern Geoscience Consultants. - EM anomalies were modelled using industry-standard plate modelling software to generate conductive plate interpretations used for drill targeting. - Modelled conductor plates represent geophysical interpretations of conductive sources and do not necessarily represent mineralisation. Conductor geometry, depth, dip and conductance remain subject to refinement as additional drilling, Downhole EM and

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		geological information become available. Drilling results are used to validate and refine conductor models as exploration progresses.
Verification of sampling and assaying	- The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. - The use of twinned holes. - Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, and data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. - Discuss any adjustments to assay data.	RC: - Drill hole information including lithology, mineralogy, sample depth, downhole survey, etc. was collected electronically or entered into an Excel spreadsheet, then merged into a primary database for verification and validation. - No assay data adjustments have been made. DD: - Drill hole information including lithology, mineralogy, sample depth, Portable X-Ray Fluorescence (pXRF) data, downhole survey, etc. was collected electronically in Field Teams for Microsoft GeoBank, prior to being entered into the company's GeoBank database. - No adjustments have been made to assay data.
Location of data points	- The accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. - Specification of the grid system used. - Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	- Once drilling was completed, the hole locations were picked up using a GPS. Coordinates within this document are in datum GDA94 Zone 52, unless otherwise labelled. - Prior to using these drill holes in a Mineral Resource Estimation, the collar locations will be picked up with a DGPS. - For consistency and accurate comparisons all historic coordinates have been converted from datum WGS84 zone 52 to GDA94 Zone 52 if not originally available in GDA94 zone 52. Coordinates unless otherwise labelled with latitude/longitude on images and tables within this document are in datum GDA94 Zone 52. - Modelled EM conductor plates were independently generated and reviewed by Southern Geoscience Consultants Pty Ltd using MLEM survey data and were incorporated into the Company's geological targeting workflow. - Conductor models were reviewed alongside geological logging, assay results and magnetic data prior to drill targeting.
Data spacing and distribution	- Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. - Whether the data spacing and distribution are sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. - Whether sample compositing has been applied.	- Early exploration of the SW area utilised targeted holes at specific geological or geophysical targets. - As the drilling at the SW prospect is only at the initial exploration stage, the drill spacing is variable and not currently sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. - If the relationship between the drilling orientation	Drillholes at Southwest were oriented to intersect the layered stratigraphy at high angles using the best structural constraints available at the time. Bedding orientations were derived from geological and geochemical interpretations, as well as α-β measurements collected from oriented diamond core. These measurements show consistent internal orientation within each hole, enabling calculation of representative dips and dip

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	directions used for geological interpretation. Apparent dips shown in figures are therefore based on measured data, not assumptions selected to maximise true width. Interpretation remains preliminary pending additional oriented core. • Drill orientation was designed to be approximately perpendicular to the interpreted strike and dip of shallow, southwest-dipping magnetic units based on geological mapping and airborne magnetic data. Recent drilling at Southwest has identified local variations in dip, indicating a more complex structural geometry in this area, and drill orientations will be refined as the geological model evolves. • Where holes have been drilled to target modelled EM conductors, the modelled conductor's orientation has been targeted to be drilled perpendicular. • No sample bias resulting from drilling orientation is expected.
Sample security	• The measures taken to ensure sample security.	• Sample security was managed by on-site geologists where single metre splits and composite samples were grouped into zip-tied polyweave bags and loaded into sealed bulka bags. • Drill core is sent on pallets from site for core cutting at Terra Metals Warehouse in Perth, where it is supervised by a geologist. Samples are sent directly from the Warehouse to Bureau Veritas Laboratories in Perth for sorting and assay. • RC samples are then collected by NATS transport from site and delivered to Bureau Veritas Labs in Perth for sorting and assay. • Assay results were received by email to the Managing Director, Exploration Manager and Senior Geologist.
Audits or reviews	• The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	• No audits were undertaken at this early stage. • Sample techniques are considered sufficient for exploration drilling and Mineral Resource estimation.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	• Type, reference name/number, location and ownership, including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national parks and environmental settings. • The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting and any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.	• The Dante Project is located in the West Musgrave region of Western Australia. The Project includes 6 exploration licences (E69/3401, E69/3552, E69/3554, E69/3555, E69/3556 and E69/3557) and 5 applications for exploration licences (E69/4193, E69/4304, E69/4305, E69/4306, and E69/4307). • A Native Title Agreement is currently in place with the Ngaanyatjarra Land Council. • Initial heritage surveys have been completed over key focus areas, and progressive heritage survey work remains ongoing. Flora and Fauna surveys are ongoing.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	- Datasets from previous explorers include full coverage airborne electromagnetic and magnetics; auger geochemical drillholes; reverse circulation (RC) and diamond core drillholes; an extensive rock chip database; ground electromagnetics and gravity (extended historical datasets continue to be under further review). - The Dante Project has had substantial historical exploration. Historical exploration on the Dante Project has been summarised below with most of the work reported being conducted between 1998 and 2016. Western Mining Corporation (WMC) conducted RC and diamond drilling, rock chip sampling, soils, gravity, airborne magnetics between 1998 – 2000. WMC flew airborne electromagnetics over the Dante Project area. - Traka Resources between 2007 and 2015 completed approximately 3,500 auger drillholes, 10 RC drillholes and 2 diamond drillholes and collected rock chips and soil samples. Geophysics included ground-based electromagnetics geophysics over 5 locations. Western Areas Ltd partnered with Traka and completed some RC drilling and ground-based EM during this period. Anglo American Exploration between 2012 and 2016 flew airborne EM and collected rock chips in a joint venture with Phosphate Australia.
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The Dante Project is situated in the Musgrave Block (~140,000 km²) in central Australia, which is located at the junction of three major crustal elements: the West Australian, North Australian, and South Australian cratons. It is a Mesoproterozoic, east-west trending orogenic belt resulting from several major tectonic episodes. The discovery of the Nebo-Babel Ni-Cu-Au-PGM sulfide deposit in the western portion of the Musgrave block (Western Australia), was the world's largest discovery of this mineralisation style since Voisey's Bay, prior to the discovery of Julimar/Gonneville in 2020. The West Musgrave region of Western Australia hosts one of the world's largest layered mafic-ultramafic intrusive complexes, the Giles Intrusive Complex (~1074 Ma). These intrusions are part of the larger Warakurna Large Igneous Province, emplaced around 1075 million years ago. The Jameson Layered Intrusion forms part of the Giles Intrusive Complex. The Dante Project covers significant extents of the Jameson Layered Intrusion (Figure 4), which is predominantly mafic in composition consisting of olivine-bearing gabbroic lithologies with an abundance of magnetite and ilmenite, similar to the rocks that host Nebo-Babel. Lithologies containing more than 50 vol% magnetite and ilmenite are classified titanomagnetites. Similar occurrences of titanomagnetite are known from the upper parts of other layered mafic-ultramafic intrusions, such as the Bushveld Complex, where they contain PGM's and often copper sulfides. The Bushveld Complex in South Africa is estimated to contain 2.2 billion ounces of PGMs, making it one of the world's most important PGM sources. The Jameson Layered Intrusion hosts several laterally extensive Cu-PGE₃ magnetite reefs, recognised in both magnetic data and outcrop. These reefs are hosted within layered troctolite, olivine-gabbro and olivine-gabbro-norite, and the intrusion is interpreted to contain at least 11 PGM-Cu reef horizons. The three deposits included in the MRE contain approximately 12.6 km of shallowly dipping (20-30° to the SW) Cu-PGE₃ magnetite, stratiform reefs. The mineralisation is preserved in two zones,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		the Upper Reef and Basal Reef zones, which are situated approximately 30-60m apart and separated by a gabbro-norite unit. The Basal Reef contains the highest Cu-PGE3 grades. Southwest Prospect (SW1–SW6) Drilling at the Southwest Prospect has identified a zone of intrusion-hosted Ni–Cu–PGM–Co sulfide mineralisation developed at the bases of mafic cycles within the Jameson Layered Intrusion. Sulfides occur as disseminated, net-textured and locally semi-massive intervals within and adjacent to titanomagnetite–ilmenite reef packages, and extend into both hanging-wall and footwall gabbros. The sulfide zones are associated with more primitive mafic–ultramafic units characterised by elevated MgO and Cr₂O₃. This style of mineralisation is distinct from the stratiform Cu–PGM–titanomagnetite reefs in the Dante MRE and may reflect a feeder-style component within the broader Southwest area. Recent PGE7 assays have revealed significant enrichment in iridium-group PGEs (Rh, Ir, Ru, Os), confirming that the Southwest system hosts a chemically evolved sulfide liquid capable of concentrating both Pd–Pt and the more refractory IPGE suite. The presence of high Rh+Ir+Os+Ru grades supports a high-temperature magmatic origin and is consistent with sulfide saturation and liquid segregation during repeated magma recharge events into the Southwest chamber.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results, including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: ◦ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ◦ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ◦ dip and azimuth of the hole ◦ down hole length and interception depth ◦ hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified because the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• All drill hole information relevant to this report is provided in Table 2, 3 and 4. • No information has been excluded.
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short	• Where 4m composite samples and 1m samples were included in the same intercept, a weighted average was calculated. • No metal equivalent values have been used to report the new exploration results in this announcement. Where Metal Equivalent values are reported in relation to the August 2025 Dante MRE, refer to the TM1 ASX announcement "Maiden Mineral Resource Estimate at Dante Project" dated 11 August 2025 for the Metal Equivalent calculation

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated, and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for reporting metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	methodology.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	- These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. - If the geometry of the mineralisation for the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. - If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	- Reported intercepts represent downhole lengths. True widths are not yet known. Indicative geometries shown in figures are based on averaged bedding measurements from α-β data and the known drillhole orientations. - Holes were designed to intersect the mapped stratigraphy approximately perpendicular to dip and strike. Estimated dip of the target lithology is approximately 30°, therefore, most holes are drilled at -60°.
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include but are not limited to, a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Appropriate maps and diagrams relevant to the reported Exploration Results are provided in this announcement. All relevant data is displayed on appropriately geo-referenced figures.
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of exploration results.	All material exploration results, including significant and lower-grade mineralised intervals, have been reported in a balanced manner.
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported, including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	All material exploration data relevant to this announcement has been reported.
Further work	The nature and scale of further planned work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions, depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Further exploration drilling to test for lateral extensions, additional feeder conduits and stratiform PGE-Cu-Ni mineralisation, as well as depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling will be undertaken.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Diagrams highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	- Additional diamond drilling will be undertaken to improve understanding of deposit geometry, scale and mineralogy, as well as to support metallurgical testwork and resource estimation. - Further Downhole EM, Ground EM, and processing and modelling of existing gravity and magnetic data for further target generation. - Soil sampling and surface or auger geochemistry may be undertaken to better constrain and support new drill targets. - Geological and structural model development is ongoing and will be utilised to complement further exploration and resource modelling. - Further exploration will also be undertaken to discover and define other titanomagnetite reefs and sulfide bearing intrusives at the SW Prospect. A diagram showing the various prospects within the Southwest Prospect area is included in the body of this announcement.