Rokeby Resources Limited

HURRICANE SOIL SAMPLING PROVEN EFFECTIVE

HIGHLIGHTS

- Soil reconnaissance lines proven effective
- Existing prospects confirmed with Au-Sb-As and weaker Ag anomalism
- Results support rapid regional assessment of the Hurricane Project

---

Rokeby Resources Limited (ASX: RKB) (**Rokeby** or the **Company**) is pleased to announce assay results from its November reconnaissance soil sampling program at the 100% owned Hurricane Project in northern Queensland.

As part of a field program undertaken in early November 2025, the Rokeby exploration team collected 80 soil samples on two lines across the Hurricane Project, including known prospects. Selected elemental results are presented in Tables 1.

Previously, at Hurricane, reconnaissance sampling was completed by collecting rock chips from outcropping quartz veins. While this has been effective in identifying whether or not the veins were gold bearing it was providing only a small geochemical window.

Two soil sample orientation lines crossing the project area in a northeast direction (Figure 1), which is roughly perpendicular to the strike of known mineralisation, with spacing of 100m between samples were completed to determine if there was any coherent geochemical response over known areas of mineralisation, and whether it was a useful tool in identifying areas where there was no surficial expression of mineralisation. The trial was successful with results indicating that soil sampling will be an effective exploration tool at Hurricane.

Rokeby Resources Limited
Suite 1 / 11 Ventnor Avenue, West Perth WA 6005
info@rokebyresources.com.au | Rokebyresources.com
+61 (08) 6263 4738



Figure 1: Soil sampling undertaken at the Hurricane Project

"Confirmation that the Hurricane regolith supports rapid low-cost soil sampling opens the door for the project to be explored quickly at a regional level," said Rokeby CEO, Trevor Benson. *"We are looking forward to systematically exploring the project and prioritise areas that should be more intensely explored. What is particularly exciting is that this technique should allow us to find "blind" targets that historical exploration, which focused on outcropping mineralisation might have missed."*

Results of Soil Analysis

Bouncer line

A 2.2km long line of soil sampling was undertaken along the Bouncer area, extending in a northeast direction from south of the Bouncer Prospect (Figure 1). The soil line covered the Pedersen, Gale and Bouncer prospects and returned strong responses for gold, antimony and variable responses for arsenic (Figures 2, 3 & 4). Several other elements (Ag, Ba, Bi, Cu and Mo) returned weak, but elevated responses, which can serve to focus exploration activities.

Of note is the single point anomalism in gold on a small suborinate line approximately 1200m ESE from the Pedersen prospect which returned 103 ppb Au. This anomaly is not associated with previously reported results or prospects.



*Figure 2: Significant **gold** results over 14 ppb, including one result over 100 ppb in an area that has not been mapped or sampled prior. The soil samples correlate to known prospects proving that the regolith is amenable to soil sampling as a method of detecting mineralisation.*



*Figure 3: Significant **Antimony** results over 100 ppm. The soil samples correlate to known prospects proving that the regolith is amenable to soil sampling as a method of detecting mineralisation*

Tornado line

The soil line collected over the Typhoon, Hurricane North, Tornado and Holmes prospects in the south of the project (Figure 1) was approximately 5.6km long and also returned strong responses for gold, antimony and arsenic (Figures 2, 3 & 4). All known prospects gave clearly detectable arsenic, antimony and gold responses.

An additional anomalous result was detected 1300m west of Typhoon. This anomaly is also not associated with previously reported results or prospects. Other elements returned weak, elevated responses as well including Ag, Ba, Bi, K, Mo, P, Pb, and Rb.



*Figure 4: Significant **Arsenic** results over 400 ppm. The soil samples correlate to known prospects proving that the regolith is amenable to soil sampling as a method of detecting mineralisation*

Next Steps

Rokeby will design a regional soil program to test the tenement package with follow-up infill programs. During sample collection, Rokeby will also undertake field mapping to capture geology and structural controls for mineralisation at the known prospects.

About the Hurricane Project

The Hurricane Project is located in the Hodgkinson Province of northeastern Queensland, a structurally complex terrane within the Mossman Orogen and host to the historic Hodgkinson Goldfield.

The province is underlain by metamorphosed Siluro-Devonian turbiditic metasediments that have undergone multiple deformation events, including folding, thrusting, and brittle ductile shearing — key controls on gold mineralisation.



Figure 5: Hurricane Project, 120 kms northwest of Cairns

Gold systems in the region are typical of orogenic deposits, with mineralisation hosted in quartz veins, breccias, and stockworks along reactivated fault zones. Mineralising fluids are interpreted to have originated from deep crustal sources.

At Hurricane, mineralisation is consistent with sediment-hosted orogenic gold systems, marked by a core Sb–As–Au–Ag geochemical signature. This association is shared with globally significant deposits such as Macraes (NZ) and Fosterville (VIC).

With favourable structural architecture, a well-established mineralising environment, and large areas still untested, the Hurricane Project offers strong potential for the discovery of new high-grade gold systems in a historically productive but underexplored district.

This announcement has been authorised for release by the Board of Rokeby Resources Limited.

*****

Investor Enquiries:

Trevor Benson
Chief Executive Officer
(08) 6263 4738
Info@rokebyresources.com.au

Media:

Peter Taylor
NWR Communications
0412 036 231
Peter@nwrcommunications.com.au

COMPETENT PERSON STATEMENT

The information in this ASX announcement that relates to Exploration Results for the Hurricane Project in Queensland, is based on information compiled by Mr Mathew Perrot who is a Member of The Australian Institute of Geoscientists, MAIG, RPGeo. He has sufficient experience, which is relevant to the exploration activities, style of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration, and to the activity which has been undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Perrot is a fulltime employee of Rokeby Resources Limited and consents to the announcement being issued in the form and context in which it appears

Table 1

Sample Locations and Assay Results (GDA94 Zone 55)
Selected element results for all soil samples

SampleID	Easting	Northing	Au_ppb	Ag_ppm	As_ppm	Ba_ppm	Bi_ppm	Cu_ppm	Mo_ppm	P_ppm	Pb_ppm	Rb_ppm	Sb_ppm
HSS00001	249198	8153414	3	0.35	16.3	304.6	0.36	24.7	0.5	160	23.4	119.51	3.2
HSS00002	249269	8153478	7	0.14	44.6	325.5	0.4	16.7	0.4	239	29.5	118.88	18
HSS00003	249329	8153555	3	0.11	25.1	609.6	0.86	23	0.5	355	37	236.29	3.39
HSS00004	249414	8153621	-1	0.08	12.7	337.6	0.3	10.1	0.4	188	19.9	123.19	4.29
HSS00005	249470	8153700	11	0.09	21.2	377.4	0.36	12.4	0.4	152	23.2	134.08	2.65
HSS00006	249543	8153767	5	0.08	12.5	280.2	0.33	10.4	0.4	140	20.4	104.73	1.93
HSS00007	249616	8153838	-1	0.08	14	348.7	0.28	10.2	0.4	176	19.5	120.2	2.24
HSS00008	249684	8153910	-1	0.07	8.7	345.8	0.31	9.9	0.4	151	19.6	127.73	1.6
HSS00009	249774	8153968	-1	0.06	11.6	279.8	0.29	9.4	0.4	170	20.9	100.01	2.54
HSS00010	249823	8154041	-1	0.07	5.8	275.3	0.23	7.3	0.4	151	18.8	98.11	1.53
HSS00011	250478	8153558	-1	0.07	5.2	318.5	0.21	8.2	0.5	184	18.3	105.02	1.47
HSS00012	250523	8153622	-1	0.08	5.4	258.3	0.23	6.8	0.4	169	16.7	88.82	1.39
HSS00013	250641	8153680	-1	0.06	7.2	325.3	0.25	9.8	0.4	178	20.7	117.83	1.4
HSS00014	250699	8153748	103	0.08	13	345.2	0.33	12	0.4	266	24.7	134.79	2.75
HSS00015	248912	8153139	76	0.12	433.9	284.4	0.32	12.3	0.5	230	24.4	131.93	381.65
HSS00016	248982	8153200	8	0.1	440.5	273.9	0.28	9.1	0.4	187	20	128.7	30.76
HSS00017	249082	8153286	1	0.12	45.6	333.9	0.4	15.2	0.5	258	23	138.07	27.08
HSS00018	249135	8153333	29	0.1	24	312.4	0.38	13.1	0.5	265	22.7	138.65	7.19
HSS00019	248857	8153047	9	0.13	114.9	460.4	0.61	19.2	0.5	274	29.5	180.06	25.81
HSS00020	248775	8153007	2	0.09	146.6	375.2	0.54	15.4	0.4	276	23.2	161.7	17.29
HSS00021	248698	8152930	2	0.06	33.5	294.1	0.24	8.4	0.4	168	19.9	116.33	7.62
HSS00022	248621	8152851	4	0.1	33.4	404.3	0.55	18.8	0.5	245	26.9	165.4	7.93
HSS00023	248571	8152780	1	0.07	20.4	254.1	0.48	11.2	0.3	191	18.4	101.31	6

SampleID	Easting	Northing	Au_ppb	Ag_ppm	As_ppm	Ba_ppm	Bi_ppm	Cu_ppm	Mo_ppm	P_ppm	Pb_ppm	Rb_ppm	Sb_ppm
HSS00024	248495	8152716	-1	0.09	13.1	221.5	0.37	9.5	0.4	140	16.8	90.83	3.82
HSS00025	248406	8152657	1	0.09	11.2	373.4	0.58	21.9	0.5	219	32.1	143.45	1.26
HSS00026	248343	8152565	1	0.1	11.1	433.2	0.76	22.2	0.3	211	24.9	170.62	1.59
HSS00027	248284	8152518	-1	0.09	7.4	317.1	0.62	15.2	0.4	188	21	128.82	2.14
HSS00028	252405	8147991	2	0.1	53.8	560.2	0.86	23.3	0.5	497	33.5	245.52	81.19
HSS00029	252284	8147925	-1	0.07	20	289.5	0.37	11.2	0.5	288	18.2	120.86	23.06
HSS00030	252110	8147806	2	0.07	20.7	308.1	0.36	11.6	0.5	188	21.1	134.74	38.31
HSS00031	252206	8147877	-1	0.06	12.2	318.1	0.31	8.5	0.4	178	19.6	120.36	11.68
HSS00032	252481	8147952	-1	0.07	13.9	287.1	0.28	9.2	0.4	175	21.6	117.35	11.18
HSS00033	252544	8148076	38	0.15	323.9	505.7	0.68	15.9	0.5	475	30.3	242.18	350.42
HSS00034	252607	8148133	2	0.09	33.8	464.1	0.64	20.2	0.5	252	29	191.17	18.19
HSS00035	252710	8148194	-1	0.06	11.2	355.4	0.37	12.5	0.4	171	21.6	130.52	6.4
HSS00036	252793	8148251	6	0.11	68.1	591.9	0.73	28.3	0.6	377	32.3	241.9	16.79
HSS00037	252859	8148318	7	0.07	40.3	305.7	0.25	9.4	0.4	192	20.1	122.87	9.42
HSS00038	252928	8148382	-1	0.06	11.6	332	0.23	8.4	0.4	165	19.5	120.74	2.78
HSS00039	253003	8148481	1	-1	17.7	184.3	0.27	5.7	0.3	146	11.6	75.74	4.22
HSS00040	253114	8148496	2	0.06	9.1	347.3	0.22	7.9	0.4	184	18.8	115.6	2.77
HSS00041	253186	8148531	-1	0.05	10.3	328.7	0.2	7.9	0.4	189	17.7	108.23	2.17
HSS00042	253444	8148688	-1	0.06	28.6	265	0.26	7.4	0.4	192	17.6	108.97	5.87
HSS00043	253374	8148659	2	0.09	29.9	293.6	0.33	10.8	0.5	226	18.1	121.5	7.39
HSS00044	253292	8148620	2	0.09	102.7	259.1	0.35	10.9	0.7	198	19.3	113.76	16.88
HSS00045	253524	8148782	5	0.13	144.9	279.5	0.4	10.3	0.6	281	16.8	116.76	8.74
HSS00046	253602	8148834	-1	0.06	16.8	262.6	0.28	9.4	0.4	186	16.9	102.77	3.89
HSS00047	253682	8148887	98	0.08	413.4	298.9	0.44	12.7	0.5	388	23.1	142.79	12.73
HSS00048	253795	8148944	2	0.14	27.3	479.7	0.72	26.6	0.5	365	32.3	194.65	2.51
HSS00049	253840	8148995	-1	0.11	14.6	490	0.74	28	0.6	291	33.3	192.53	1.81
HSS00050	253943	8149054	-1	0.08	10.7	362.3	0.39	15	0.5	199	22.9	141.29	2.42

SampleID	Easting	Northing	Au_ppb	Ag_ppm	As_ppm	Ba_ppm	Bi_ppm	Cu_ppm	Mo_ppm	P_ppm	Pb_ppm	Rb_ppm	Sb_ppm
HSS00051	255082	8149876	3	0.07	13.9	255.4	0.3	4.9	0.4	120	18.2	115.26	1.33
HSS00052	255177	8149910	4	0.11	20.9	438.6	0.57	24	0.5	211	26.6	179.66	1.27
HSS00053	255247	8149981	3	0.09	29.1	333.9	0.42	16	0.4	235	22.5	139.91	1.58
HSS00054	255319	8150037	1	0.09	26.6	389.9	0.46	16.2	0.4	206	24.7	155.92	2.95
HSS00055	255400	8150092	-1	0.07	13.8	351.3	0.4	15.9	0.5	150	24.6	130.42	3.79
HSS00056	255459	8150143	-1	0.08	10.3	311.3	0.38	13.3	0.4	188	21	128.39	3.72
HSS00057	255574	8150213	-1	0.07	7.1	257.7	0.27	9.4	0.4	145	15.2	103.45	2.54
HSS00058	255661	8150259	-1	0.06	6.3	323.9	0.25	9.3	0.4	162	20.7	114.19	2.53
HSS00059	255726	8150318	-1	0.07	6.6	347.3	0.26	10.1	0.4	181	20.1	123.85	2.65
HSS00060	255801	8150386	-1	0.09	8.8	323.2	0.41	14.4	0.5	178	22.1	137.24	8.46
HSS00061	254984	8149814	-1	0.07	7.4	371	0.27	9.5	0.4	167	22.3	140.69	1.36
HSS00062	254917	8149729	3	0.09	26.2	446.8	0.54	20.8	0.4	226	28.5	172.12	1.99
HSS00063	253854	8149123	-1	0.07	13.3	265.3	0.46	9.1	0.6	162	19.9	135.92	3.11
HSS00064	253882	8149235	1	0.12	26.3	503.5	0.96	30.6	0.5	482	38.4	207.63	5.69
HSS00065	253860	8149344	-1	-1	8.1	229.5	0.23	8.2	0.4	160	15.3	85.25	1.98
HSS00066	253838	8149443	-1	0.09	12.6	280.5	0.21	8.5	0.4	177	16.7	103.65	2.33
HSS00067	253877	8149550	-1	0.08	8.7	332.8	0.44	19.1	0.5	243	26.4	131.17	1.59
HSS00068	253890	8149653	-1	0.1	16.6	535.3	0.78	22.5	0.6	400	33.4	205.22	5.01
HSS00069	253960	8149729	-1	0.06	6.7	325.7	0.33	12.7	0.5	179	21.8	127.1	1.38
HSS00070	253998	8149832	-1	0.11	12.8	563.6	0.85	32.9	0.6	472	36.7	226.69	3.11
HSS00071	254061	8149914	20	0.07	4.5	285	0.24	8.7	0.3	145	19.8	95.95	1.28
HSS00072	254159	8149918	-1	0.09	10.1	351.8	0.48	17.3	0.5	230	24.8	142.86	2.45
HSS00073	254243	8149980	2	0.1	11.8	500.1	0.69	24.2	0.6	258	30.6	200.56	1.8
HSS00074	254350	8149990	14	0.11	26.3	289.5	0.29	10.4	0.4	201	20.2	116.35	3.33
HSS00075	254453	8149968	1	0.06	12.1	322.4	0.26	10.6	0.4	182	21.4	138.94	3.08
HSS00076	254550	8150010	-1	0.07	10.7	312.6	0.26	9.5	0.4	200	19.6	113.21	1.72
HSS00077	254644	8150036	-1	0.07	12.3	306	0.87	11.8	0.5	215	21.8	175.01	2.36

SampleID	Easting	Northing	Au_ppb	Ag_ppm	As_ppm	Ba_ppm	Bi_ppm	Cu_ppm	Mo_ppm	P_ppm	Pb_ppm	Rb_ppm	Sb_ppm
HSS00078	254742	8150068	-1	0.08	28.2	360.4	0.32	11.8	0.4	208	21.6	145.35	2.63
HSS00079	254827	8150125	-1	0.06	8.5	340.9	0.25	9.7	0.3	185	21	136.46	1.85
HSS00080	254873	8150224	-1	0.06	14	355.1	0.32	13.1	0.4	165	22.4	135.75	1.63

Appendix 2 - JORC 2012 Compliancy Table 1

The following information is provided to comply with the JORC Code (2012) exploration reporting requirements.

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data
Criteria: Sampling techniques
JORC CODE Explanation
Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or hand-held XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.
Company Commentary
No drilling or geophysical results are reported in this announcement. This announcement refers to assay results of 80 soil samples collected during reconnaissance fieldwork across different prospects within Rokeby's Hurricane Project area located 120km west northwest of Cairns. Soil sample locations were planned as traverses or along access tracks with sample sites every 100m approximately. Results are evaluated in the context of suitable exploration models based on elemental associations and mapped lithologies.
JORC CODE Explanation
Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. Samples were collected at a depth of 10-20 cm and then all material passing -2mm sufficient for 200 gram sample was taken to ensure representative material.
JORC CODE Explanation
Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is a coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.
Company Commentary
Best practice and sampling protocols were followed to collect the 80 soil samples being reported. The purpose of the sampling was to determine if the regolith dispersed elements from known mineralisation to allow broad mapping of the project to identify all prospects, including those without surface expression and to quantify length, width and tenor between prospects.

Criteria: Drilling techniques
Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Drill sample recovery
JORC CODE Explanation
Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Logging
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geo-technically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.

JORC CODE Explanation
The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation
JORC CODE Explanation
If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement and thus no core is involved. This announcement refers only to soil samples assays.
JORC CODE Explanation
If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement. The announcement refers to soil samples, sampled using standard geochemical sampling protocols.
JORC CODE Explanation
For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.
Company Commentary
The soil samples were sampled following standard industry procedures. All samples were packaged in paper kraft bags with individual sample IDs written on the bag at each site, secured and transported by Rokeby Resources geologists to Intertek Townsville to ensure sample integrity and quality.
JORC CODE Explanation
Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise "representivity" of samples.
Company Commentary
The soil samples were sampled following standard industry procedures. All samples were packaged in paper kraft bags with individual sample IDs written on the bag at each site, secured and transported by Rokeby Resources geologists to Intertek Townsville to ensure sample integrity and quality.
JORC CODE Explanation
Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.
Company Commentary
The soil samples were sampled following standard industry procedures. Sites were located approximately 100m from each other, samples were collected with a shovel dug to a depth of 10-20 cm and then sieved to collect a 200g sample at -2mm size fraction. Locations were recorded with handheld GPS.

JORC CODE Explanation
Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.
Company Commentary
This announcement does not refer to drilling or drill results. However, the soil samples reported here were sampled such that each sample weighed a minimum of 200 grams to enable complete homogeneity when pulverised for geochemical analysis.
Criteria: Quality of assay data and laboratory tests
JORC CODE Explanation
The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. The samples were submitted to Intertek Townsville for multielement geochemical analysis. The analytical assay technique is a combination of inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) for acquiring multi-element data and fire assay atomic absorption spectroscopy, FA25 for gold. The analytical assay techniques used in the elemental testing is considered industry best practice. These techniques which employ a four-acid digest, quantitatively dissolve nearly all elements for most geological samples except the most resistive minerals such as zircons.
JORC CODE Explanation
For geophysical tools, spectrometers, hand-held XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. No tools of this nature were used in the generation of the assay results. All data were acquired through Intertek Townsville.
JORC CODE Explanation
Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.
Company Commentary
Intertek Townsville runs and maintains a comprehensive QAQC program, which includes the insertion of duplicates, standards, and blanks to assess data accuracy, laboratory contamination and data repeatability. All datasets received from Intertek Townsville meet acceptable levels of industry standards, accuracy, and precision.
Criteria: Verification of sampling and assaying
JORC CODE Explanation
The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.

Company Commentary
This announcement does not refer to drilling or drill results.
JORC CODE Explanation
The use of twinned holes.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, date verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.
Company Commentary
Assay files were received electronically from Intertek Townsville in PDF and Excel formats, including analytical certificates, which serve as certificates of authenticity. Received data were subsequently verified by company geologists and QAQC analysis performed on certified reference material to evaluate data accuracy, repeatability, and completeness. All data received were captured on company laptops/desktops/iPads and backed up from time to time. Photographic data were acquired by Rokeby personnel. All original datasets received from Intertek are saved on Rokeby's online storage platform for future references.
JORC CODE Explanation
Discuss any adjustment to assay data.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. No assay data adjustments were made to the data.
Criteria: Location of data points
JORC CODE Explanation
Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. The sample locations were determined using hand-held Garmin GPSMAP 66s units.
JORC CODE Explanation
Specification of the grid system used.
Company Commentary
All coordinates presented in this announcement refer to GDA94 Zone 55
JORC CODE Explanation
Quality and adequacy of topographic control.

Company Commentary
Topographic control is achieved via the use of government topographic maps, past geological reports/plans, and by using hand-held GPS.
Criteria: Data spacing and distribution
JORC CODE Explanation
Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. Sample spacing was predetermined at 100 m spacing. Targeted areas included prospect areas with known historic mineralisation.
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.
Company Commentary
No Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve estimations are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether sample compositing has been applied.
Company Commentary
No sample compositing was applied to these results. All collected samples were of sufficient quantity of at least 200 gram passing -2mm fraction to provide homogeneous material for geochemical analysis.
Criteria: Orientation of data in relation to geological structure
JORC CODE Explanation
Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.
Company Commentary
This announcement refers to assay results for 80 soil samples. Sample spacing was predetermined at 100 m spacing. Targeted areas included prospect areas with known historic mineralisation.
JORC CODE Explanation
If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Sample security

JORC CODE Explanation
The measures taken to ensure sample security.
Company Commentary
All samples were collected in paper kraft bags with individual sample IDs written on the bag at each site and transported to Intertek Townsville by Rokeby geologists. All process were managed by the Company in line with industry best practices.
Criteria: Audits and reviews
JORC CODE Explanation
The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.
Company Commentary
All assays were reviewed by company personnel. No external audits were conducted on these assays.
Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results
Criteria: Mineral tenement and land tenure status
JORC CODE Explanation
Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.
Company Commentary
The Hurricane Project located in north Queensland, comprises three granted Exploration Permits for Minerals (EPMs): EPM 27518, EPM 25855, and EPM 19437. The tenements are held 100% by Rokeby Resources Limited through its wholly owned subsidiary, Placer Gold Pty Ltd. The project area covers parts of Hurricane Station and Nychum Station, both of which are freehold properties. Rokeby has secured land access agreements with both landholders in accordance with the Queensland Land Access Code. The area is subject to native title interests and ILUA agreements. Rokeby Resources is actively engaged with relevant stakeholders and has protocols in place for cultural heritage management and access.
JORC CODE Explanation
The security of the land tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.
Company Commentary
At the time of reporting, all tenements are in good standing, and there are no known impediments to ongoing exploration.
Criteria: Exploration done by other parties
JORC CODE Explanation

Acknowledgement and appraisal of exploration by other parties.
Historical exploration over the Hurricane Project area has been undertaken by several companies, notably Homestake Gold of Australia, Sanworth Pty Ltd, Pan Australian Exploration Pty Ltd, and others between the late 1980s and mid-1990s Work focused on evaluating gold and antimony mineralisation associated with quartz breccia veins and structural corridors related to the Hurricane and Retina Fault systems. Sanworth Pty Ltd carried out regional stream sediment and rock chip sampling, identifying multiple gold and antimony anomalies within the project area. While some follow-up was completed, the work remained largely first pass in nature. Homestake undertook more detailed field programs including mapping, rock chip sampling across multiple vein systems (Hurricane, Typhoon, Bouncer, Pedersen). This work contributed to early interpretations of vein geometries and mineralisation styles, though no drilling was completed. Pan Australian compiled historical exploration data across the broader Hodgkinson Province and conducted regional geochemical reviews, identifying additional target areas based on multielement anomalies. Several other companies held overlapping or adjacent tenure but conducted only limited fieldwork, focusing on desktop assessments. The historical datasets, though fragmented and largely unvalidated, were later consolidated and reassessed by Placer Gold and Rokeby Resources to inform modern exploration strategies and target generation.
Company Commentary
Criteria: Geology
JORC CODE Explanation
Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.
Company Commentary
The Hurricane Project is located within the Hodgkinson Province of northeastern Queensland, a geologically complex terrane within the Mossman Orogen. The province hosts the historic Hodgkinson Goldfield, which produced approximately 9.7 tonnes of gold between 1875 and 1924 at an average grade of 37 g/t Au. The Hodgkinson Province is characterised by metamorphosed Siluro-Devonian turbiditic metasediments that have undergone multiple deformation events. These events resulted in tight folding, regional thrusting, and the development of brittle-ductile shear zones, which serve as primary controls on gold mineralisation. Gold systems in the region are typical of orogenic deposits, with mineralisation hosted in quartz veins, breccias, and stockwork vein arrays formed along reactivated fault zones. The mineralising fluids are interpreted to have originated from deep crustal sources, migrating upward along major structural conduits. Mineralisation at the Hurricane Project is consistent with sediment-hosted orogenic gold systems, defined by a core geochemical signature of Sb–As–Au–Ag. This association is common to several globally significant deposits, including Macraes (New Zealand) and Fosterville (Victoria). With favourable structural architecture, a well-stablished mineralising environment, and significant portions of the project area remaining untested by modern exploration, the Hurricane Project offers strong potential for the discovery of new high-grade gold systems in a historically productive but underexplored district.
Criteria: Drill hole information

JORC CODE Explanation
A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:
· *Easting and northing of the drill hole collar*
· *Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar.*
· *Dip and azimuth of the hole.*
· *Down hole length and interception depth.*
· *Hole length.*
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Data aggregation methods
JORC CODE Explanation
In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations shown in detail.
Company Commentary
No weighted averages, maximum/minimum truncations and cut-off grades were applied to reporting contained in this announcement.
JORC CODE Explanation
The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.
Company Commentary
No metal equivalents are referred to in this announcement.

Criteria: Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths
JORC CODE Explanation
These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known.')
Company Commentary
No drilling or drilling results are referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Diagrams
JORC CODE Explanation
Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views
Company Commentary
Maps are provided, which show locations of the 80 soil samples included in this announcement. Photographic data is cross referenced to the sample number and hence geo-located.
Criteria: Balanced reporting
JORC CODE Explanation
Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.
Company Commentary
The Company believes the ASX announcement provides a balanced report of its exploration results referred to in this announcement.
Criteria: Other substantive exploration data
JORC CODE Explanation
Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.
Company Commentary
This does not refer to any previous exploration announcements
Criteria: Further work
JORC CODE Explanation
The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).
Company Commentary

Further work is necessary in areas of identified geochemical and geophysical anomalism based on interpretation of the reported soil samples.
JORC CODE Explanation
Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.
Company Commentary
Maps are provided that show the locations of exploration prospects and geophysical and geological data included in this announcement.